

JAN 05 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FRANCISCO ALVARADO-MANJIVAR,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-70463

Agency No. A027-649-832

MEMORANDUM^{*}On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration AppealsSubmitted December 15, 2009^{**}

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Francisco Alvarado-Manjivar, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Valeriano v. Gonzales*, 474 F.3d 669, 672 (9th Cir. 2007), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA acted within its broad discretion in determining the evidence submitted with the motion to reopen was insufficient to warrant reopening. *See Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (The BIA’s denial of a motion to reopen shall be reversed only if it is “arbitrary, irrational, or contrary to law.”).

Alvarado-Manjivar’s due process contention is unavailing.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.